

LOUISIANA ETHICS ADMINISTRATION

VISION

The Ethics Administration Program will be known as the premier source and authority for information and direction on the application of the Code of Governmental Ethics, Campaign Finance Disclosure Act, and the Legislative and Executive Lobbyist Disclosure Acts, creating an environment where elected officials, public employees, candidates, and lobbyists of the State of Louisiana operate in a manner that instills public confidence.

MISSION

The mission of the Ethics Administration Program is to provide staff support for the Louisiana Board of Ethics, which administers and enforces Louisiana's conflicts of interest legislation, campaign finance disclosure requirements and lobbyist registration and disclosure laws, to achieve compliance by governmental officials, public employees, candidates, and lobbyists and to provide public access to disclosed information.

PHILOSOPHY

It is the responsibility of the Ethics Administration Program to disseminate and provide information to public servants, candidates, lobbyists, political committees, as well as the general public in an efficient and expedient manner, to ensure that the statutory provisions of the Code of Governmental Ethics, Campaign Finance Disclosure Act and the Legislative, Executive, and Local Government Lobbyist Disclosure Acts are upheld.

GOALS

- I. To improve the level of education and awareness by public servants in order to ensure compliance with conflicts of interest standards, campaign finance disclosure requirements, and lobbyist registration and disclosure requirements.
- II. To ensure that the administrative duties of the Louisiana Board of Ethics are carried out and reported to the public in a timely and efficient manner by the Ethics Administration Program in accomplishing its mission and vision as to increasing public confidence relative to the accountability of public servants, candidates, political committees, and lobbyists.

AGENCY GOALS

- Goal I: To improve the level of education and awareness by public servants in order to ensure compliance with conflicts of interest standards, campaign finance disclosure requirements, and lobbyist registration and disclosure requirements.
- Objective I.1: Annually increase the number of online presentations available and the number of governmental entities with Ethics Liaisons.
 - Strategy I.1.1: The Ethics Administration Program (EAP) will maintain a comprehensive database containing up to date contact information for Ethics liaisons with Louisiana's 20 executive level state agencies. The database, created and maintained by EAP's Office of Training and Development, will be shared and utilized by all applicable EAP divisions in an agency-wide effort toward optimal outreach and 100% training compliance among persons governed by the *Code*.
 - Strategy I.1.2 Through the effort of the Training and Development Specialist, the EAP will employ various methods-including dissemination of standard promotional and informational electronic materials—in its campaign to actively engage Ethics liaisons in planning and promoting training seminars and electronic training sessions to all in their charge who are subject to Louisiana's *Code of Governmental Ethics*.
 - Strategy I.1.3: The EAP will maintain a comprehensive database containing up-to-date contact information for Ethics liaisons with Louisiana parish and municipal government agencies. The database, created and maintained by EAP's Office of Training and Development, will be shared and utilized by all applicable EAP divisions in an agency-wide effort toward optimal outreach and 100% training compliance among persons governed by the *Code*.

Performance Indicators: Input: Number of online presentations

Number of governmental entities contacted Number of live informational presentations

Output: Number of governmental entities with designated liaisons

Number of persons receiving live training

Efficiency: Percentage increase in the number of online presentations

Percentage increase in governmental entities contacted with

designated Ethics liaisons

Goal II: To ensure that the administrative duties of the Louisiana Board of Ethics are carried out and reported to the public in a timely and efficient manner by EAP in accomplishing its mission and vision as to increasing public confidence relative to the accountability of public servants, candidates, political committees and lobbyists.

Objective II.1: Reduce the delay between the assignment of an investigation and final staff approval of an investigative report as a direct result of streamlining the investigation process, requiring conclusion of 75% of non-complex investigations within a period of no more than 120 days by June 30, 2031.

Strategy II.1.1: Reduce period for conclusion of investigation to address violations of the

Code of Ethics to 120 days.

Performance indicators: Input: Number of matters referred to investigation

Output: Number of non-complex investigations

completed

Number of non-complex investigations completed by

deadline

Efficiency: Percentage of non-complex investigation reports completed

within deadline

Objective II.2: By June 30, 2031, 65% of all reports and registrations are filed electronically.

Strategy II.2.1: Disseminate information to candidates, political committees, lobbyists, the

general public, and the media on the availability, benefits, and legislative

mandates regarding the electronic filing system.

Strategy II.2.2: Develop and conduct live and online training/seminars on the

proper use of the web-based packages used in electronic filing.

Performance indicators: Output: Number of reports and registrations filed

Number of reports and registrations filed

electronically

Outcome: Number of reports and registrations filed in paper format Efficiency: Percentage of reports and registrations filed electronically

APPENDIX

A.	Clients and users of the Ethics Administration Program			
В.	External factors beyond the control of the Ethics Administration Programi			
C.	Statutory requirements for each goali			
D.	Description of program evaluation to develop objectives and strategiesii			
E.	Primary persons benefited by each objectiveii			
F.	Duplication of effortii			
G.	Performance Indicator Matrixiii			
Н.	Performance Indicator Documentation Sheets and Descriptionsv			
I.	Louisiana: State Outcome Goalsxx			
J. Wor	Act 1078 of the 2003 Regular Legislative Session - Human Resource Policies That Benefit nen and Families			
K.	Evidence of Strategic Planning Sessions - OPB, Legislative Staff and Agency Staff Input xx			
L.	Strategic Planning Checklist Usedxx			
М.	Maintenance of Recordsxx			

A. Clients and users of the Ethics Administration Program

Public servants, members of their immediate family, their legal entities, and persons who do business with those individuals are subject to the provisions of the Code of Governmental Ethics. The following groups are required to register, report, and/or disclose information to the Ethics Administration Program (EAP): lobbyists, candidates, political committees, and public servants. The general public, including the media, has access to all disclosed public information.

B. External factors beyond the control of the Ethics Administration Program

The effectiveness of strategies developed by the EAP to inform its clients and users of the laws administered by the EAP depends on the number of public servants, lobbyists, candidates, and political committees attending seminars conducted by the EAP. It is difficult for the staff to know the level of knowledge and awareness of the individuals who attend informational presentations sponsored by the EAP. The EAP does not know who is a lobbyist, a candidate or political committee, prior to their registering or reporting to the EAP; therefore, the EAP cannot distribute information packets to those individuals regarding statutorily mandated requirements. The EAP does not know the identity of all public servants who are required to take the training.

C. Statutory requirements for each goal

- I. Article X, Section 21 of the Louisiana Constitution required the Legislature to enact a Code of Ethics and to establish a board or boards to administer that Code. Louisiana Revised Statutes Title 42, Chapter 15 established the Code of Governmental Ethics and required staff functions to be provided by the Department of Civil Service. Louisiana Revised Statutes Title 18, Chapter 11 provides for the administration of the Campaign Finance Disclosure Act. Louisiana Revised Statutes Title 24, Chapter 1 provides for the administration of Louisiana's Lobbyist Legislative Disclosure Act. Louisiana Revised Statutes Title 49, Chapter 1 provides for the administration of Louisiana's Lobbyist Executive Disclosure Act. Louisiana Revised Statutes Title 33, Chapter 46 provides for the administration of Louisiana's Local Government Lobbying Laws.
- II. Article X, Section 21 of the Louisiana Constitution required the Legislature to enact a Code of Ethics and to establish a board or boards to administer that Code. Louisiana Revised Statutes Title 42, Chapter 15 established the Code of Governmental Ethics and required staff functions to be provided by the Department

of Civil Service. Louisiana Revised Statute 42:1158 provides for the establishment of the Board of Ethics Computerized Data Management System.

D. Description of program evaluation to develop objectives and strategies

The EAP's staff held a meeting to formulate its objectives and strategies to ensure that it effectively and efficiently provides support to the Board of Ethics (the "Board"). The Board administers and enforces the laws subject to its jurisdiction: the Code of Governmental Ethics, Campaign Finance Disclosure Act, and the Legislative, Executive, and Local Government Lobbyist Disclosure Acts.

E. Primary persons benefited by each objective

- I.1 Lobbyists, candidates, political committees and public servants will benefit from this objective, by ensuring their compliance with the provisions of the Code and the avoidance of penalties. The public will also benefit as compliance is attained.
- II.1 Public servants involved in matters investigated by the EAP will not be subject to prolonged investigations and disposition of violations of the Code. The public will benefit from prompt resolution of violations.
- II.2 The public will benefit by the timely disclosure of information by encouraging candidates, political committees, and lobbyists to file reports and registrations according to statutorily mandated requirements. The general public will have statewide access to disclosed information, and lobbyists, candidates and political committees can find information in an effective and expedient manner.

F. Duplication of effort

No other state agency administers conflicts of interest, lobbyist disclosure laws or campaign finance disclosure laws or investigates violations thereof. There are a few municipalities with "local" ethics codes, but these codes do not satisfy the constitutional mandate to provide a state ethics code. During the course of reviews performed by other state agencies, such as the Legislative Auditor and the Inspector General, conflicts of interest may be discovered. These state agencies routinely refer such issues to the EAP for resolution since they do not have jurisdiction over such subject matters. And, since the EAP acts only upon referrals and does not undertake independent evaluations of agencies or programs, no recommendation to eliminate this possible duplication is made.

G. Performance Indicator Matrix

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR MATRIX								
Program: Ethics Adminis	Date: July 1, 2023							
PERFORMANCE STANDARD	INPUT	OUTPUT	OUTCOME	EFFICIENCY				
GOAL I: To improve the level of education and awareness by public servants in order to ensure compliance with conflicts of interest standards, campaign finance disclosure requirements and lobbyist registration and disclosure requirements.								
Objective 1: Annually increase the number of online presentations available and the number of governmental entities with Ethics Liaisons	 Number of online presentations Number of governmental entities contacted Number of live informational presentations 	 Number of governmental entities with designated liaisons Number of persons receiving live training 		 Percentage increase in governmental entities contacted with designated Ethics liaisons Percentage increase in number of online presentations 				

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR MATRIX								
Program: Ethics Administr	Date: July 1, 2023							
PERFORMANCE STANDARD	INPUT	OUTPUTS	OUTCOMES	EFFICIENCY				
GOAL II: To ensure that the administrative duties of the Louisiana Board of Ethics are carried out and reported to the public in a timely and efficient manner by EAP in accomplishing its mission and vision as to increasing public confidence relative to the accountability of public servants, candidates, political committees and lobbyists.								
Objective 1: Reduce the delay between the assignment of an investigation and final staff approval of an investigative report as a direct result of streamlining the investigation process, requiring conclusion of 75% of non-complex investigations within a period of no more than 120 days by June 30, 2031.	Number of matters referred to investigation	 Number of non-complex investigations completed Number of non-complex investigations completed by deadline 		Percentage of non- complex investigation reports completed within deadline				
Objective 2: By June 30, 2031, 65% of all reports and registrations are filed electronically.		 Number of reports and registrations filed Number of reports and registrations filed electronically 	Number of reports and registrations filed in paper format	Percentage of reports and registrations filed electronically				

H. **Performance Indicator Documentation Sheets and Descriptions**

PROGRAM: Ethics Administration Program

OBJECTIVE: I.1 Annually increase the number of online presentations available

and the number of governmental entities with Ethics Liaisons.

INDICATOR NAME: Number of online presentations

INDICATOR PERFORMANCE INDICATOR (PI) CODE: 25896

1. Type and Level: Input and General Performance Information

2. Rationale: This performance indicator will allow the staff to keep track

of the contact the staff has with its clients and users.

3. Use: To determine the number of online presentations available.

4. Clarity: An online presentation is any presentation made available

> electronically by staff concerning the provisions of the Code of Governmental Ethics, Campaign Finance Disclosure Act, and the

Legislative and Executive Lobbyist Disclosure Acts.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: A count of the number of online presentations available is conducted.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: The data will be collected each time an

online presentation is created by the

EAP. Reported annually.

7. Calculation Methodology: Addition of all online presentations.

Aggregate number of online presentations. 8. Scope:

9. Caveats: Not applicable.

OBJECTIVE: I.1 Annually increase the number of online presentations available

and the number of governmental entities with Ethics Liaisons.

INDICATOR NAME: Number of governmental entities contacted

INDICATOR PI CODE: 25090

1. Type and Level: Input and General Performance Information

2. Rationale: This performance indicator will allow the EAP to determine

how many governmental entities have been contacted to

establish ethics liaisons.

3. Use: To determine the number of governmental entities contacted to

establish ethics liaisons for a fiscal year.

4. Clarity: Governmental entities are those governmental entities at the state

and local level.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: The information is maintained on file and

verified with the Secretary of State's Index of Elected Officials database.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: The data will be collected by the number

of governmental entities contacted. Collected annually at the end of the

fiscal year.

7. Calculation Methodology: Addition of all governmental entities contacted.

8. Scope: Aggregate number of governmental entities contacted.

9. Caveats: Establishing, obtaining and maintaining an accurate list of governmental

entities and their contact information.

OBJECTIVE: I.1 Annually increase the number of online presentations available

and the number of governmental entities with Ethics Liaisons.

INDICATOR NAME: Number of live informational presentations

INDICATOR PI CODE: 12296

1. Type and Level: Input and General Performance Information

2. Rationale: This performance indicator will allow the staff to keep track

of the contact the staff has with its clients and users.

3. Use: To determine the number of live informational presentations

conducted.

4. Clarity: A live informational training presentation is any presentation made

to a group by Board of Ethics staff trainers concerning the provisions of the Code of Governmental Ethics, Campaign Finance Disclosure Act, and the Legislative and Executive Lobbyist Disclosure Acts.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: A chart is maintained to track the number of live presentations conducted.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: The data will be collected each time a live

informational presentation is conducted by

the EAP. Cumulative and reported

annually.

7. Calculation Methodology: Addition of all live informational presentations.

8. Scope: Aggregate number of live informational presentations.

9. Caveats: Not applicable.

OBJECTIVE: I.1 Annually increase the number of online presentations available

and the number of governmental entities with Ethics Liaisons.

INDICATOR NAME: Number of governmental entities with designated liaisons

INDICATOR PI CODE: 25091

1. Type and Level: Output and General Performance Information

2. Rationale: This performance indicator will ensure that public servants

have access and the knowledge within their agency to seek

advice from the EAP.

3. Use: The ethics liaisons will be used to disseminate information to agencies

statewide.

4. Clarity: Ethics liaisons are individuals designated by each agency for a fiscal year

who are responsible for disseminating information within that agency and

assisting the Attorney/Educator in scheduling seminars.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: A list of ethics liaisons is maintained.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: The data will be collected by the staff's

contact with the ethics liaisons.

Cumulative and reported annually.

7. Calculation Methodology: Addition of all agencies (of those contacted) with an

ethics liaison.

8. Scope: Aggregation of all ethics liaisons.

9. Caveats: The agencies must voluntarily appoint an ethics liaison.

OBJECTIVE: I.1 Annually increase the number of online presentations available

and the number of governmental entities with Ethics Liaisons.

INDICATOR NAME: Number of persons receiving live training

INDICATOR PI CODE: 12298

1. Type and Level: Output and General Performance Information

2. Rationale: This performance indicator will allow the staff to know the

number of persons receiving in person training.

3. Use: Anticipate the number of people that need training.

4. Clarity: Persons may receive training at meetings, conferences, etc. at which the

EAP is asked to make a presentation of the laws under the Board's

jurisdiction.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: A database is maintained to compile the

information regarding number of persons

trained via live presentations.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: The data will be collected each time a

training is viewed, conducted or

participated in by the EAP. Cumulative

and reported quarterly.

7. Calculation Methodology: Addition of all persons receiving live training.

8. Scope: Aggregate number of persons receiving training.

9. Caveats: None.

10. Responsible Person: Training Specialist and Education Administrative Coordinator

OBJECTIVE: I.1 Annually increase the number of online presentations available

and the number of governmental entities with Ethics Liaisons.

INDICATOR NAME: Percentage increase in governmental entities contacted with

designated Ethics liaisons

INDICATOR PI CODE: 24449

1. Type and Level: Efficiency and Key

2. Rationale: This performance indicator will allow the staff to know how

many of the agencies have ethics liaisons.

3. Use: The ethics liaisons will be used to disseminate information to agencies

statewide.

4. Clarity: Ethics liaisons are individuals within each agency, designated by that

agency, responsible for disseminating information within that agency and

assisting the Attorney/Educator in scheduling seminars.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: The numbers to calculate these figures are

maintained.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: The data will be collected by the number

of agencies contacted with an ethics liaison. Cumulative and reported

annually.

7. Calculation Methodology: (Number of governmental entities contacted with

designated Ethics liaisons in the current year - number of governmental entities contacted with designated Ethics liaisons last year)/number of governmental entities contacted with designated Ethics liaisons last

year.

8. Scope: Disaggregation of governmental entities contacted with ethics liaisons.

9. Caveats: Not applicable.

10. Responsible Person: Executive Secretary

OBJECTIVE: I.1 Annually increase the number of online presentations available

and the number of governmental entities with Ethics Liaisons.

INDICATOR NAME: Percentage increase in number of online presentations

INDICATOR PI CODE: 24450

1. Type and Level: Efficiency and Key

2. Rationale: This performance indicator will allow the staff to track the

number of online presentations available.

3. Use: To determine the number of online presentations available.

4. Clarity: An online presentation is any presentation made available

electronically concerning the provisions of the Code of

Governmental Ethics, Campaign Finance Disclosure Act, and the

Legislative and Executive Lobbyist Disclosure Acts.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: A chart is maintained to compile information

regarding the number of online

presentations available.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: The data calculation will use the number

of online presentations available. Cumulative and reported annually.

7. Calculation Methodology: (Number of online presentations available in the

current year - number of online presentations available last year)/number of online presentations available last

year.

8. Scope: Disaggregation of the increase in the number of online presentations

available.

9. Caveats: Not applicable.

10. Responsible Person: Executive Secretary

OBJECTIVE: II.1 Reduce the delay between the assignment of an investigation

and final staff approval of investigative report as a direct result of streamlining the investigation process, requiring conclusion of 75% of non-complex investigations within a period of no more than 120

days by June 30, 2031.

INDICATOR NAME: Number of matters referred to investigation.

INDICATOR PI CODE: 4203

1. Type and Level: Input and General Performance Information

2. Rationale: This performance indicator will allow the staff to track the

number of matters referred to investigation.

3. Use: Balance the workload of investigators and attorneys.

4. Clarity: No terms need to be defined.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: A list of the number of investigations is

maintained.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: The data will be collected according to

the number of files referred to

investigation by the Louisiana Board of

Ethics. Cumulative and reported

quarterly.

7. Calculation Methodology: Addition of each case file referred to investigation by

the Louisiana Board of Ethics.

8. Scope: Aggregation of case files referred to investigation by the Louisiana Board

of Ethics.

9. Caveats: Not applicable.

10. Responsible Person: Ethics Division Director

OBJECTIVE: II.1 Reduce the delay between the assignment of an investigation

and final staff approval of investigative report as a direct result of streamlining the investigation process, requiring conclusion of 75% of non-complex investigations within a period of no more than 120

days by June 30, 2031.

INDICATOR NAME: Number of non-complex investigations completed.

INDICATOR PI CODE: 10397

1. Type and Level: Output and Key

2. Rationale: This performance indicator will allow the staff to track the

number of investigations completed.

3. Use: Balance the workload among investigators.

4. Clarity: No terms need to be defined.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: A list of the number of investigations completed

is maintained.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: The data will be collected according to

the number of investigations completed.

Cumulative and reported quarterly.

7. Calculation Methodology: Addition of each investigation completed by the

investigator.

8. Scope: Aggregation of investigations completed.

9. Caveats: The complexity of the matter will affect the length of the investigation.

10. Responsible Person: Ethics Division Director

OBJECTIVE: II.1 Reduce the delay between the assignment of an investigation

and final staff approval of an investigative report as a direct result of streamlining the investigation process, requiring conclusion of 75% of non-complex investigations within a period of no more than 120

days by June 30, 2031.

INDICATOR NAME: Number of non-complex investigations completed by

deadline.

INDICATOR PI CODE: 7132

1. Type and Level: Output and Key

2. Rationale: This performance indicator will allow the staff to track the

number of investigations completed by the deadline

established by the staff.

3. Use: Balance the workload among investigators.

4. Clarity: No terms need to be defined.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: A list of the number of days to complete each

investigation is maintained.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: The data will be collected according to

the number of investigations completed by the deadline established by the staff. Cumulative and reported quarterly.

7. Calculation Methodology: Addition of each non-complex investigation completed

by the deadline by the investigator.

8. Scope: Aggregation of investigations completed.

9. Caveats: The complexity of the matter will affect the length of the investigation.

10. Responsible Person: Ethics Division Director

OBJECTIVE: Reduce the delay between the assignment of an investigation

and final staff approval of investigative report as a direct result of streamlining the investigation process, requiring conclusion of 75% of non-complex investigations within a period of no more than 120

days by June 30, 2031.

INDICATOR NAME: Percentage of non-complex investigation reports completed

within deadline.

INDICATOR PI CODE: 7133

1. Type and Level: Efficiency and Key

2. Rationale: This performance indicator will allow the staff to track the

percentage of investigations completed by the deadline established

by the staff.

3. Use: Balance the workload among investigators.

4. Clarity: Investigators are required to complete an investigation report summarizing

their investigation to be submitted to the Louisiana Board of Ethics.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: A list is maintained of the number used to

calculate the percentage.

The data will be collected according to 6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting:

> the number of investigations completed by the deadline established by the staff.

Cumulative and reported annually.

7. Calculation Methodology: Number of non-complex investigation reports

completed by the deadline/number of non-complex

reports completed.

8. Scope: Disaggregation of the number of investigations completed within the

deadline established by the staff.

9. Caveats: The complexity of the matter will affect the length of the investigation.

10. Responsible Person: Executive Secretary

OBJECTIVE: II.2 By June 30, 2031, 65% of all reports and registrations are filed

electronically.

INDICATOR NAME: Number of reports and registrations filed.

INDICATOR PI CODE: 12307

1. Type and Level: Output and General Performance Information

2. Rationale: This performance indicator will allow the staff to track the

number of reports and registrations filed.

3. Use: Anticipate the trend in the number of individuals utilizing the electronic

filing system.

4. Clarity: Reports are personal financial, ethics disclosure statements, campaign

finance disclosure reports, and lobbyist expenditure reports. Registrations are Statement of Organizations filed by political committees and Lobbyist

Registration Forms.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: A list of the numbers is maintained.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: The data will be collected when the

reports are received by the EAP.

Cumulative and reported quarterly.

7. Calculation Methodology: Addition of all reports and registrations received by the

EAP.

8. Scope: Aggregation of the number of reports and registrations filed.

9. Caveats: Not applicable.

10. Responsible Person: Campaign Finance and Lobbying Division and Disclosure

Division Directors

OBJECTIVE: II.2 By June 30, 2031, 65% of all reports and registrations are filed

electronically.

INDICATOR NAME: Number of reports and registrations filed electronically.

INDICATOR PI CODE: 12308

1. Type and Level: Output and General Performance Information

2. Rationale: This performance indicator will allow the staff to track the

number of reports and registrations electronically filed.

3. Use: Anticipate the trend in the number of individuals utilizing the electronic

filing system.

4. Clarity: No terms need to be defined.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: A list of the number of reports filed

electronically is maintained.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: The data will be collected according to

the number of reports and registrations electronically filed. Cumulative and

reported quarterly.

7. Calculation Methodology: Addition of each report and registration that is

electronically filed.

8. Scope: Aggregation of the number of reports electronically filed.

9. Caveats: Disclosure reports are not required to be filed electronically.

10. Responsible Person: Campaign Finance and Lobbying Division Director

OBJECTIVE: II.2 By June 30, 2028, 65% of all reports and registrations are filed

electronically.

INDICATOR NAME: Number of reports and registrations filed in paper format.

INDICATOR PI CODE: 12309

1. Type and Level: Outcome and General Performance Information

2. Rationale: This performance indicator will allow the staff to track the

number of reports and registrations that are filed in paper

format.

3. Use: Balance the workload among members of the EAP's staff and determine the

number of reports and registrations to print.

4. Clarity: A report is any disclosure report filed with the EAP pursuant to the Code of

Governmental Ethics, Campaign Finance Disclosure Act and Legislative, Executive, or Local Lobbyist Disclosure Acts. Registration is a Statement of Organization filed by a political committee or a Lobbyist Registration Form.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: A list of the number of reports filed in paper

format is maintained.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: The data will be collected according to

the number of reports and registrations received in paper format. Addition of each report and registration that is filed in paper format. Cumulative and reported

quarterly.

7. Calculation Methodology: Addition of each report and registration that is filed in

paper format.

8. Scope: Aggregation of the number of reports and registrations filed in paper format.

9. Caveats: Not applicable.

10. Responsible Person: Campaign Finance and Lobbying Division and Disclosure

Division Directors

OBJECTIVE: II.2 By June 30, 2028, 65% of all reports and registrations are filed

electronically.

INDICATOR NAME: Percentage of reports and registrations filed electronically.

INDICATOR PI CODE: 7143

1. Type and Level: Efficiency and Key

2. Rationale: This performance indicator will allow the staff to track the

number of reports and registrations filed electronically compared to the number of reports and registrations filed in

paper format.

3. Use: Anticipate the trend in the number of individuals utilizing the electronic

filing system.

4. Clarity: Disclosure reports are not filed electronically.

5. Validity, Reliability and Accuracy: A list of the number of reports filed

electronically and in paper format is

maintained.

6. Data Source, Collection and Reporting: The data will be collected according to

the number of reports and registrations that are filed electronically. Cumulative

and reported annually.

7. Calculation Methodology: The number of reports and registrations filed

electronically/the total number of reports and

registrations filed with the EAP.

8. Scope: Disaggregation of the number of reports that are electronically filed.

9. Caveats: Not applicable.

10. Responsible Person: Executive Secretary

Agency Statements

I. Louisiana: State Outcome Goals

The EAP is committed to ensuring a high standard in transparency, accountability, and overall effectiveness in state government in Louisiana. As such, the Ethics Administration Program's goals position the agency to improve the level of education and awareness by public servants in order to ensure compliance with conflicts of interest standards, campaign finance disclosure requirements and lobbyist registration and disclosure requirements, and to ensure that the administrative duties of the Louisiana Board of Ethics, including but not limited to investigations and subsequent collections activities related to fines and fees, are carried out and reported to the public in a timely and efficient manner. The EAP is dedicated to accomplishing its mission and vision, increasing public confidence relative to the accountability of public servants, candidates, political committees and lobbyists, as well as providing timely public access to disclosed information.

J. Act 1078 of the 2003 Regular Legislative Session - Human Resource Policies That Benefit Women and Families

The EAP has a policy and procedure manual that addresses the following issues that are helpful and beneficial to women and families: overtime, family and medical leave, attendance and leave, sexual harassment policy, and salaries above the minimum.

K. Evidence of Strategic Planning Sessions - OPB, Legislative Staff, and Agency Staff Input

The Ethics Administration Program emailed OPB and Legislative Staff on June 23, 2025, to request input and feedback on the EAP's Strategic Plan. An internal email on April 24, 2025, also requested staff review and provide feedback on the Strategic Plan.

L. Strategic Planning Checklist Used

The Strategic Planning Checklist was utilized to document the planning process and as a guideline. As such, the checklist was used where applicable for our agency.

M. Maintenance of Records

The Ethics Administration will ensure that all documents used in developing the strategic plan and data used to complete quarterly performance progress reports are maintained according to records retention laws applicable to this agency.